

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

Miscellaneous proceedings No. 02/2018

Shri Jawaharlal T. Shetye
H.N. 35/A, Ward No, 11,,
Near Sateri Temple, Khorlim,
Mapusa-Goa.

.....Complainant

V/s

1)The Director,
Directorate of Municipal Administration &
Urban Development,
Dempo Towers First floor,
Patto Plaza Panaji-Goa.

.....Opponent

CORAM:

Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar, State Information Commissioner

Decided on:14/02/2019

ORDER

1. The miscellaneous application came to be filed by the complainant Shri J.T.Shetye herein on 14/11/2018 there by contending that the order of this commission dated 19/6/2018 passed in appeal No. 98/2018 was not complied in toto by the opponent herein .
2. It is contention of the complainant that this commission vide order dated 19/6/2018 had directed the Opponent to conduct the inquiry within four months regarding the missing files/documents pertaining to the occupancy certificate issued by the Mapusa Municipal Council and had directed to furnish the said inquiry report to the appellant .
3. It is the contention of the appellant that the period of four months allotted to the Opponent to conduct an inquiry is over on 19/10/2018 however no any steps have been taken by the Opponent in those directions. It is his contention that the Opponent is acting against the interest of Right to Information Act, 2005 and such an action on the part of Respondent amounts to violation of law and on such grounds he sought for disciplinary proceedings against the opponent.

4. Both the parties were duly notified. In pursuant to notice complainant appeared in person. Opponent was represented by Mrs. Sania Fernandes.
5. Reply dated 18/1/2019 was submitted with the registry of this commission vide entry No.122 dated 18/1/2019 by the Opponent. In the said reply it was submitted that due the various administrative reasons, the inquiry could not be completed within stipulated time limit of four months and they sought for additional time for completing inquiry.
6. The representative of opponent during the hearing on 12/2/2019 submitted that the inquiry have been concluded and the due information as was sought by complainant have been provided to him by PIO of the concerned public authority. Complainant also agreed of having received the information from the PIO of Mapusa Municipal Council.
7. Accordingly the inquiry report alongwith enclosures and the directions issued on 8/2/2019 to the first appellate authority and the PIO of Mapusa Municipal Council by the opponent herein were duly furnished to the Complainant.
8. Since the directions issued by this commission at (A)and (E) in order dated 19/6/2018 passed in appeal proceedings 98/2018 have been duly complied by the opponent herein, I find that the proceedings and relief sought by the Complainant becomes infructuas.
9. The proceedings disposed and stands closed accordingly.

Notify the Parties .

Sd/-

(Ms.Pratima K. Vernekar)
State Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission,
Panaji-Goa